ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ನಮ್ಮದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ, ಅವರದು ಸರ್ಕಾರವಲ್ಲ. ತಮಿಳುನಾಡಿನವರು ಹೇಗೆ ಇಟ್ಟರು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ತಮಿಳುನಾಡು ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಏನು ಮಾಡಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಕಾಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. How can the hon. member quote what Tamil Nadu has done ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.— ಆ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಪಟ್ಟು ಹಾಕುವುದು ಬೇಡ. ಬಲವಂತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಹಾಕುವಾಗ ತಮಿಳುನಾಡು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು, ಆಂಧ್ರ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು, ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ರಾಜ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಏನಿದೆ ಅದೆಲ್ಲಾ ಬೇರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಇಲ್ಲೂ ಏಕೆ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಪಟ್ಟು ಹಾಕಲಿ, ನೋಡೋಣ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಥವಿಲ್ಲ. Then I have to say that the Hon'ble Minister is incapable of proving the allegations made and he is in the habit of using loose words also.

(ii) *re* : **Close of Passage in K. R. Market Bangalore City**

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA (Fort).—I call the attention of the Minister for Law and Municipal Administration to the inconvenience caused to the public due to the closure of passage in the Sri Krishnarajendra Market, Bangalore City.

SRI D. K. NAIKAR (Minister for Law and Municipal Administration).—Madam Speaker, I would like to make the following statement.

Shops No. 27/A and 46/A which are in open passage in Scrap Iron Block, S.K.R. Market were allotted to two persons *viz* , Sri G. Ramachandra and Sri P. V Chikka Krishnappa vide Endorsement No. DC (R) 356/68-69 dated 20th July 1968 and No. DC (R) 336/68-69 dated 19th September 1968 of the Corporation, Bangalore. They are paying licence fee at Rs. 25 per month regularly up-to-date.

In the meantime, there was a proposal for contstruction of shops at the said passage. At that time, the neighbouring licensees went to the Court (IInd Additional Munsiff's Court, Bangalore) in O.S. No. 1018/74 brought stay to the above proposal. The said stay was vacated on 14th August 1974.

Further the said licensees went in an appeal to the Principal, Civil Judge, Bangalore, in M.A. 73/74 and brought status-quo ante.

I inspected the Market on 5th November 1974 and assured that the passage would be kept open and would not be alloted to anybody.

In the meanwhile, the President of Vegetable and Fruit Merchants Sangha, presented an application addressed to the Commissioner, Bangalore City Corporation, on 2nd December 1974 for prevention of construction of shops in the passage in the northern portion of S.K.R. Market and the Commissioner has taken action to keep open the passage, as per assurance given during the inspection.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ.—ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಭರವಸೆಗೆ ನಾನು ಮೆಚ್ಚುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗಲೇ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕೈದು ಪ್ಯಾಸೇಜಸ್ ಮುಚ್ಚಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ಯಾಸೇಜ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸಾಕು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಸಿ ಆ ಪ್ಯಾಸೇಜ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಯ್ಕರ್ —ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಷಾಪ್‌ಗಳ ಪ್ಯಾಸೇಜ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಪರ್ಸನಲ್ ವಿಜಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ನೋಡಿ, ಇದರ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಉಳಿಕೆ ಪ್ಯಾಸೇಜಸ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಆದರ ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—in the chair]

re : Acquisition of land and compensation by in KHB at Yalankha Hobli

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA (Sagar).—I call the attention of the Minister for Finance and Housing to certain irregularities in the acquisition of lands and payment of compensation by Officers of Karnataka Housing Board at Yelahanka, Hobli.

SRI M. Y. GHORPADE (Minister for Finance and Housing).—I Wish to make the following Statement.

During the debate in the Legislative Assembly on 5th March, 1975, one of the honourable members of the Legislative Assembly Sri Konandur Lingappa, alleged that serious irregularities had been committed in the acquisition of land by the Karnataka Housing Board for Yelahanka Township, involving a wrongfull payment of Rs. 4.6 lakhs and that the officers of the Board were responsible for these irregularities. Subsequently, one of the members of the Housing Board, Sri Kempe Gowda, also made a similar representation to me. I discussed this matter with the Chairman, Karnataka Housing Board on 7th March. The connected records have been obtained from the Housing Board and examined.

2. The factual position is briefly as follows :—

For the Yelahankha Townsihp, which is being established by Karnataka Housing Board, acquisition proceedings were initiated and the preliminary notification under Section 4 (1) of the Land Acquisition Act was issued on 15-3-1971. The final Notification under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act was published on